DILLON HIGH 1730 Highway 301 North Dillon, SC 29574 9-12 High School GRADES 1,017 Students ENROLLMENT Dr. Julie S. Von Frank 843-774-1230 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT D. Ray Rogers 843-774-1200 Fitzgerald Lytch 843-774-5454 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 4 10 6 5 IMPROVEMENT RATING: EXCELLENT ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: This school met 17 out of 17 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM WWW.SCEOC.ORG

G00D

YES

1

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Unsatisfactory	Below Average	N/A
2002	Unsatisfactory	Below Average	N/A
2003	Below Average	Below Average	No
2004	Good	Excellent	Yes

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS

		Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours			
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006
Passed 2 subtests	66.7	N/A	N/A	65.1	N/A	N/A
Passed 1 subtest	18.6	N/A	N/A	17.5	N/A	N/A
Passed no subtests	14.7	N/A	N/A	20.6	N/A	N/A

Our School High Schools with Students Like Ours Percent 93.1% 92.6%

Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
5.2	5.1
5.2	5.2
30.9	34.9
	5.2

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours		
Number of Students	240	160		
Number of Diplomas	166	115		
Rate	69.2%	72.6%		

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS										
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2004		Eligibility for LIFE Scholarship		Gı	Graduation Rate				
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective			
All Students	189	93.1	191	5.2	240	69.2	YES			
Gender										
Male	88	90.9	102	2.9	122	60.7	N/A			
Female	101	95.0	89	7.9	118	78.0	N/A			
Racial/Ethnic Group										
White	63	96.8	57	15.8	68	80.9	N/A			
African-American	119	92.4	127	8.0	159	65.4				
Asian/Pacific Islander	4	I/S	4	I/S	6	83.3	N/A			
Hispanic	1	I/S	1	I/S	2	I/S	N/A			
American Indian/Alaskan	1	I/S	2	I/S	5	40.0	N/A			
Racial/Ethnic Group										
Non disabled	182	93.4	182	5.5	225	72.9	N/A			
Disabilities other than speech	7	85.7	9	0.0	15	13.3	N/A			
Migrant Status										
Migrant	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A			
Non-migrant	189	93.1	191	5.2	N/A	N/A	N/A			
English Proficiency										
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A			
Non-Limited English Proficient	189	93.1	191	5.2	240	69.2	N/A			
Socio-Economic Status										
Subsidized meals	126	90.5	114	0.0	147	59.2	N/A			
Full-pay meals	63	98.4	77	13.0	93	84.9	N/A			

HSAP PERFORMANCE					,_	,_	- 7 -		-
	Enrollment 1st Day of T.	% Tested	% Below Basis	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	Performance Objective	Participation
	# £	§ / §	/ MO/	Bas	Jog (dva _r	ficie		
	19.00	% \	/ %	/ %	/ %	/ %	P. P.	[[] a
							% 4 <u>.</u>	L°	<u> </u>
9	sh/Langua	•			Objective				VE
All Students Gender	235	99.1	20.4	33.3	30.7	15.6	57.3	YES	YE
Gender Male	118	98.3	24.5	33.6	23.6	18.2	52.7	N/A	N/
Female	117	100.0	16.5	33.0	37.4	13.0	61.7	N/A	N/
Racial/Ethnic Group	117	100.0	10.5	33.0	37.4	13.0	01.7	IN/A	IN/
White	76	98.7	12.3	28.8	31.5	27.4	71.2	YES	YE
African-American	150	99.3	25.9	34.3	30.1	9.8	49.0	YES	YE
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/3
Hispanic	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/:
American Indian/Alaskan	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/:
Disability Status	- 0	1/3	1/3	1/3	1/3	1/3	1/3	1/3	1/
Not Disabled	214	100.0	14.9	36.1	32.7	16.3	61.1	N/A	N/
Disabled	21	90.5	88.2	N/A	5.9	5.9	11.8	I/S	1/
Migrant Status		30.0	00.2	14// (0.0	0.0	11.0	1/0	1/
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/
Non-Migrant	235	99.1	20.4	33.3	30.7	15.6	57.3	N/A	N/
English Proficiency	200	00.1	20.1	00.0	00.1	10.0	01.0	14/71	14/
imited English Proficient	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/
Non-Limited English Proficient	232	99.1	20.7	32.9	30.6	15.8	56.8	N/A	N/
Socio-Economic Status				-			-		
Subsidized meals	169	98.8	23.8	37.8	27.4	11.0	51.8	YES	YE
Full-pay meals	66	100.0	11.5	21.3	39.3	27.9	72.1	N/A	N/
	Mathemati	cs - State	Performa	nce Ohie	ctive = 30	0%			
All Students	235	98.7	25.0	39.3	22.3	13.4	46.0	YES	YE
Gender	200	00.1	20.0	00.0	22.0	1011	10.0	120	
Male	118	98.3	23.6	42.7	20.0	13.6	46.4	N/A	N/
Female	117	99.1	26.3	36.0	24.6	13.2	45.6	N/A	N/
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	76	98.7	17.8	34.2	27.4	20.5	60.3	YES	YE
African-American	150	98.7	28.9	43.0	18.3	9.9	37.3	YES	YE
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/
Hispanic	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/
American Indian/Alaskan	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	214	99.5	21.3	41.5	23.2	14.0	48.3	N/A	N/
Disabled	21	90.5	70.6	11.8	11.8	5.9	17.6	I/S	1/
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/
Non-Migrant	235	98.7	25.0	39.3	22.3	13.4	46.0	N/A	N/
English Proficiency									
imited English Proficient	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/
Non-Limited English Proficient	232	98.7	24.9	39.8	21.7	13.6	45.7	N/A	N/
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	169	98.2	27.0	41.7	21.5	9.8	41.1	YES	YE
Full-pay meals	66	100.0	19.7	32.8	24.6	23.0	59.0	N/A	N/

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

				11 02000
SCHOOL PROFILE	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 1,017)				
Retention rate	11.3%	Up from 10.2%	10.4%	9.1%
Attendance rate	95.9%	Up from 95.1%	95.9%	96.0%
Eligible for gifted and talented With disabilities other than speech	0.0% 8.8%	Down from 2.8% Up from 7.0%	3.6% 14.0%	5.8% 12.7%
Older than usual for grade	12.3%	Down from 13.6%	12.7%	9.8%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	2.2%	No change	2.0%	1.6%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	4.7%	Up from 3.7%	5.8%	10.2%
Successful on AP/IB exams	59.1%		45.8%	53.8%
Annual dropout rate	6.4%	Down from 6.5%	2.9%	2.7%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	0.0%	No change	4.1%	3.6%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	429	Up from 411	414	466
Students participating in worked-based experiences	73.9%	Up from 44.8%	27.8%	25.7%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	49.4%	Down from 53.4%	74.1%	77.7%
Career/technology completers placed	N/A	N/A	100.0%	99.3%
Teachers (n= 57)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	49.1%	Up from 37.3%	43.6%	52.0%
Continuing contract teachers	82.5%	Up from 81.4%	79.4%	82.1%
Highly qualified teachers**	93.6%	N/A	87.2%	89.5%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	9.3%		14.7%	8.6%
Teachers returning from previous year	92.1%	Up from 89.6%	82.2%	86.2%
Teacher attendance rate	95.5%	Up from 95.0%	95.2%	95.3%
Average teacher salary	\$38,066	Up 3.8%	\$39,201	\$41,060
Prof. development days/teacher	14.2 days	Up from 11.2 days	10.7 days	10.6 days
School	4.0	D (00	0.0	0.0
Principal's years at school	1.0 24.6 to 1	Down from 8.0 Down from 24.8 to 1	2.0 24.6 to 1	3.0 26.4 to 1
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects Prime instructional time	89.8%	Up from 88.5%	90.0%	90.0%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$5,058	Down 5.6%	\$6,773	\$6,310
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	58.0%	Up from 54.6%	55.2%	57.9%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No change	Good	Excellent
Parents attending conferences	83.9%	Down from 98.0%	85.3%	89.3%
SACS accreditation	Yes	No change	Yes	Yes
Character development program * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Average	N/A	Average	Good
		Our District	St	ate
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty	schools**	N/A	92.	.0%
Highly qualified teachers in high povert	y schools**	98.1%	91.	.1%
-		State Objective	Met State	Objective
Highly qualified teachers in this school'	**	65.0%	Y	es
Student attendance in this school		95.3%	Y	es
ANIOTE TI I'C I'				

^{**}NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Dillon High School is in the midst of sweeping changes as we accept the challenges of providing opportunities for educational excellence for all our students. The faculty and staff are focused on addressing state content standards to prepare our students for high stakes state testing and for the workplace after graduation. With a divergent student body consisting of 81% of students on free or reduced lunch in an area where 44.3% of families are below the poverty level with a per capita income of \$13,038, this mission requires dedication, determination, and conscientious efforts from all staff members. Through our school renewal plan, we have implemented specific professional development strategies as well as technology integration to improve student achievement. Our vision is to meet the challenges of providing educational opportunities to equip students from a rural school in an economically depressed area with the skills they will need to function successfully in the twenty-first century.

We are proud of the improvements we have made in the overall school climate and in student performance. Through the tireless efforts of our teachers, we have improved scores on SAT and exit exams. In addition, the end-of-course test scores in Algebra I and Math for the Technologies 2 improved from 44% passing rate in spring 2003 to a 76% passing rate last semester. Our students continue to do well on Advanced Placement tests. Staffed with certified teachers, the Homework Center is meeting the academic needs of students while providing supervision, nutritious snacks, and free transportation. Also, our campus is in the process of being refurbished with plants donated by the city of Dillon and the removal of the fence from the front of the building.

Our students have demonstrated their understanding of the importance of serving their community by becoming involved in several civic activities. They have sponsored two blood drives and a Veterans Day tribute, participated in Relay for Life, and published a bilingual literary magazine. Also, this year we are fortunate to have WIX Filtration as our High Performance Partners.

Our outstanding athletic program has a proven track record of excellence. The athletic program has also provided structured activities that foster team-building, sportsmanship, and increased self-esteem for the athletes as well as providing thrilling moments of entertainment for students and fans.

Our goal is to continue striving for academic and athletic excellence through continued collaborative initiatives. We are proud of our accomplishments and are excited about the promise of greater rewards as we continue to realize our vision to provide exceptional, quality educational opportunities for every student at Dillon High School.

Dr. Julie Von Frank, Principal

Linda Martin, SIC Chairperson

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS						
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*			
Number of surveys returned	57	196	125			
Percent satisfied with learning environment	70.2%	56.9%	64.5%			
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	73.7%	60.5%	50.0%			
Percent satisfied with home-school relations 30.4% 79.8% 59.2%						
*Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.						